IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.12109 of 2008
SHANKAR KUMAR @ RADHIKA & ORS
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 06.05.2008 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State as also for the complainant.

The petitioners apprehend arrest in a Complaint case in which cognizance has been taken only under Sections 323, 379 and 149 of the Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The parties are stated to be the co-sharers. Earlier in Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 61 of 2007 lodged against the petitioners under Section 307 and other provisions of the Penal Code, they had been granted anticipatory bail. Another complaint filed by petitioner no. 1 against the complainant and others led to their bail.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

When the petitioners have been granted anticipatory bail in allegation under Section 307 of the Penal code, this Court finds no reason not to grant them the privilege of anticipatory bail in the present lesser allegations.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners, Shankar Kumar @ Radhika, Vinay Yadav @ Baia Yadav, Shyam Sundar Yadav @ Tuna @ Shyam Sundar Kumar, Vijay Yadav and Upendra Kumar surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, when they shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri A.K.

Singh, Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Saharsa in Complaint Case No. 364C/2007.

AKS/ **(Navin Sinha, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL